

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223/3-1460 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 1721.003US1 10/712,772 11/13/2003 Robert Field EXAMINER 09/08/2004 7590 Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. NGUYEN, KIEN T P.O. Box 2938 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Minneapolis, MN 55402 3712

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- + /\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/W /
Office Action Summary	10/712,772	FIELD ET AL.	J ·
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kien T. Nguyen	3712	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this control (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters,	, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-18 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli onty documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTC)-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/712,772

Art Unit: 3712

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-15, drawn to an inflatable having an open-weave wall or window, classified in class 472, subclass 134.
- II. Claims 16-18, drawn to a method of printing, classified in class 101, subclass 129.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and material different process such as painting.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Peter Maki on 09/02/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,772

Art Unit: 3712

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blair et al U.S. Patent 5,462,505 in view of Downey U.S. Patent 612,895.

Blair et al disclosed an inflatable as shown in Fig. 1 comprising an inflatable portion (14) having an inlet coupled to a blower (34) to blow air into an interior of the inflatable portion; an open weave section (38) coupled to the inflatable portion and defining a wall or a window of the inflatable. It is noted that the surface of the open weave section (38) does not have an image printed directly on the surface. However, Downey disclosed an advertising sign having a textile fabric or an open weave section (a) having an image (C) printed thereon. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the open weave section of Blair et al with the image printed thereon for the purpose of providing an advertising medium for the inflatable structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,772

Art Unit: 3712

Regarding claims 2-4, 12, 13, it is noted that Downey does not specifically disclose the specific method of printing the image on the textile fabric and the size of the image as set forth therein. However, such method of printing an image is well known and readily available in the art. Claims 2-4, 12-13 do not recite any specific structural features of the claimed invention beside the method of printing which has been restricted above. As for the claims 6-8, 11, 14, 15, the size of holes in the mesh and image covers dictated by the types of play environment. Accordingly, it would have been a matter of design choice to choose any particular dimension for the mesh and image to accommodate any specific environment.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The enclosed references are cited for interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2493. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,772 Page 5

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Nguyen// Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

Knt